



भारत का राजपत्र

The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाशित
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं० 7]

नई दिल्ली, शनिवार, फरवरी 12 1966 (माघ 23, 1887)

No. 7]

NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, FEBRUARY 12, 1966 (MAGHA 23, 1887)

इस भाग में भिन्न पृष्ठ संख्या दी जाती है जिससे कि यह अलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके
Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation

भाग III—खण्ड 4

PART III—SECTION 4

विधिकायों द्वारा जारी की गई विभिन्न अधिसूचनाएं, बिम में अधिसूचनाएं, आदेश, विज्ञापन और सूचराएं सम्मिलित हैं

Miscellaneous Notifications including Notifications, Orders, Advertisements and Notices issued by Statutory Bodies

रेल घर अधिकरण मद्रास के समक्ष

[रेल घर अधिकरण नियमावली 1959 के नियम 19(3) और (4) के अधीन जारी की गयी सार्वजनिक सूचना]

मद्रास दिनांक, 28 जनवरी 1966

1964 की शिकायत सं० 3

बेस्ट एण्ड कम्पनी लिमिटेड

शिकायतकर्ता

बनाम

भारतसंघ जो दक्षिण रेलवे प्रशासन, प्रत्यार्थी
मद्रास का स्वामी और प्रतिनिधि है

यतः उपर्युक्त शिकायतकर्ता ने शिकायत की है कि मद्रास में ब्लीचिंग क्ले का उत्पादन करने का उनका एक कारखाना है; उनके द्वारा उत्पादित उक्त ब्लीचिंग क्ले का, "बेस्टोनेट" के नाम और किस्म के अधीन बाजार में विक्रय होता है; उसका उपयोग मुख्यतया वनस्पति उद्योग में वनस्पति तेलों और यदाकदा खनिज तेलों को भी विरंजित करने के लिए किया जाता है; उसके उत्पादन के लिए कच्चा माल आन्ध्र प्रदेश के तण्डूर से प्राप्त किया जाता है; उसके उत्पादन में कच्चा माल अर्थात् कच्ची मृत्तिका अम्लों की सहायता से ऊष्मीय और या रासायनिक पद्धति से सक्रियित की जाती है और ऐसी प्रक्रिया उस मृत्तिका को तेलों को विरंजित करने के लिए पर्याप्त विरंजक गुण प्रदान करती है;

'बेस्टोनेट' के उत्पादन के प्रारंभ के समय से, शिकायतकर्ता उसे 'स्पैंट ब्लीचिंग क्ले' वाले शीर्ष के अधीन दक्षिण रेलवे द्वारा भारत के विभिन्न केन्द्रों को भेजता आया है, दक्षिण रेलवे उसे स्वीकार करती और 'स्पैंट ब्लीचिंग क्ले' की तरह उसके लिए स्वीकृत दर प्रभारित करती आयी है; शिकायतकर्ता भी 'स्पैंट ब्लीचिंग क्ले' की तरह उसके लिए स्वीकृत भाड़ा देता आया है; ऐसा रहते, प्रत्यार्थी ने इस आधार पर कि शिकायतकर्ता का उत्पादन 'फुलर्स एर्थ' है, अधिकतर दर पर मोड़ भाड़े के भुगतान की मांग की; पहले उस पर दक्षिण रेलवे साल्ट कोटारस, मद्रास से गाजियाबाद को, प्रति क्विण्टल रु० 5.13 प्रभारित करती थी; बाद को जब उसका एक और परेषण गाजियाबाद भेजे जाने के लिए पेश किया गया तब रेलवे अधिकारियों ने उसे ब्रुक करने से इनकार किया, यदि प्रति

क्विण्टल रु० 10.29 की दर पर भाड़े का भुगतान न किया जाता; शिकायतकर्ता ने विलम्ब से बचने के लिए अभ्यापत्ति के अधीन उच्चतर दर पर भुगतान किया;

पहले जबकि प्रत्यार्थी ने साल्ट कोटारस, मद्रास से इन्दौर को, बेस्टोनेट के प्रति क्विण्टल के लिए रु० 4.36 की दर पर प्रभार लिया था, बाद को उसने प्रति क्विण्टल रु० 9.73 की दर पर भुगतान करने पर ज़ोर दिया, शिकायतकर्ता ने पश्चादुक्त दर का भुगतान अभ्यापत्ति के अधीन किया ताकि अपने ग्राहकों को सप्लाई मिलने में विलंब न हो;

शिकायतकर्ता के उत्पादन अर्थात् 'बेस्टोनेट' का स्वरूप केवल 'स्पैंट ब्लीचिंग क्ले' के वर्णन के अन्तर्गत आता है, न कि 'फुलर्स एर्थ' के अंतर्गत; 'फुलर्स एर्थ' एक ऐसा पद है जो बिना किसी सक्रियकरण की प्रक्रिया के, मिट्टी का ही द्यौतक होता है, वह अधिकांशतः एक सुधारा हुआ पण्य है जो पूर्वोक्त को अपेक्षा अनेक वाणिज्य उद्योगों में उपयोग किया जाता है और कास्मेटिक्स के उत्पादन जैसी, उसकी दूसरी विभिन्न उपयोगिताएं हैं; 'बेस्टोनेट' के उत्पादन के लिए आवश्यक कच्चे माल को लागत और उसके उत्पादन की लागत कम है; 'फुलर्स एर्थ' को तरह उसके लिए प्रभाय दर पर 'बेस्टोनेट' के लिए भाड़ा वसूलना अनुचित है, 'फुलर्स एर्थ' को तरह भाड़ा वसूल करने के प्रत्यार्थी के कार्य से शिकायतकर्ता के व्यापार पर अनुचित प्रतिकूल प्रभाव पड़ता है; भारतीय रेल अधिनियम की धारा 28 के अधीन अवैधित भाड़ा सूचो में दिया गया अमुक विवरण 'स्पैंट ब्लीचिंग क्ले' के विवरण के अनुसार है; उसके 'बेस्टोनेट' पर ऐसा कोई प्रभार लगाना, मानो वह 'स्पैंट ब्लीचिंग क्ले' से इतर कोई पण्य हो, अनुचित है और शिकायतकर्ता ने प्रार्थना की है कि अधिकरण अपने में न्यस्त क्षेत्राधिकार का प्रयोग करते हुए प्रत्यार्थी को निदेश दे कि वह पूर्ववत् 'स्पैंट ब्लीचिंग क्ले' के शीर्ष के अधीन 'बेस्टोनेट' पर प्रभार ले।

यतः शिकायतकर्ता ने आगे शिकायत की है कि दो स्टेशनों— अर्थात् एक तरफ साल्ट कोटारस, मद्रास और दूसरी तरफ गाजियाबाद, इन्दौर और बाड़ी बन्दर के बीच जुलाई 1964 से 'बेस्टोनेट'

के सम्बन्ध में प्रत्यार्थी ने बढ़ायी गयी दर पर जो प्रभार वसूल किया था, वह भारतीय रेल अधिनियम की धारा 41(1)(ख) के अधीन अनुचित है और अधिकरण से प्रार्थना की है कि वह कृपया अपने में न्यस्त श्रेयाधिकार का प्रयोग करते हुए यह घोषणा करे कि शिकायतकर्ता के उत्पादन के लिए प्रति क्विण्टल उस प्रकार बढ़ायी गयी दरों पर प्रभार वसूलना अनुचित है और प्रत्यार्थी को निदेश करे कि वह उसी दर पर प्रभार ले जिस दर पर जुलाई 1964 के पूर्व लिया जाता था;

और यतः ऐसा विचार किया जाता है कि और भी इस प्रकार के अनेक व्यक्ति होंगे जो रिकार्ड में नहीं हों, परन्तु जिनका उपर्युक्त शिकायतकर्ता या प्रत्यार्थी के समान इन कार्यवाहियों में समान हित होगा;

अतएव यह सार्वजनिक सूचना रेल दर अधिकरण नियमावली 1959 के नियम 19(3) और (4) के अधीन दी जाती है ताकि कोई भी व्यक्ति जो वैसा चाहे, इस सूचना के प्रकाशन की तारीख से तीस दिनों के अन्दर, शिकायत में प्रार्थित अनुतोष की पुष्टि में या विरोध में प्रविष्ट होने की अनुमति के लिए या शिकायतकर्ता या प्रत्यार्थी के पक्ष में जोड़े जाने के लिए, प्रस्तावित प्रवेश के आधार और स्थिति तथा कार्यवाहियों में प्रार्थी के हित को स्पष्ट करते हुए या उक्त मामले में एक पक्ष के रूप में जोड़े जाने का आधार स्पष्ट करते हुए अधिकरण को अर्जी पेश कर सके। इस सार्वजनिक सूचना के बाद अधिकरण द्वारा दिया जाने वाला कोई भी निर्णय ऐसे सभी व्यक्तियों पर लागू होगा।

‘अडयार हाऊस’ सं० 4, पुष्प रोड, राजा अण्णामलेपुरम, मद्रास-28 में जनवरी 1966 के अठारहवें दिन मेरे हस्ताक्षर और अधिकरण की मुद्रा के अधीन जारी की गयी।

रेल दर अधिकरण, की सील

(रेल दर अधिकरण नियमावली 1959 के नियम 19(3) और (4) के अधीन जारी की गयी सार्वजनिक सूचना)

मद्रास, दिनांक 28 जनवरी 1966

1965 को शिकायत सं० 3

दि नवाबगंज शुगर मिल्स लिमिटेड

नवाबगंज (गोण्डा)

शिकायतकर्ता

उत्तर प्रदेश

बनाम

भारतसंघ, जिसका पूर्वोत्तर रेलवे, प्रत्यार्थी
गोरखपुर के जनरल मैनेजर द्वारा प्रति-
निधित्व किया जाता है

यतः उपर्युक्त शिकायतकर्ता ने भारतीय रेल अधिनियम (1890 का अधिनियम 9) की धारा 41(1)(ग) के अधीन शिकायत की है कि उत्तर प्रदेश राज्य के नवाबगंज (गोण्डा) में शक्कर और सम्बन्धित उत्पादन पैदा करने का उनका एक कारखाना है, उक्त कारखाना नवाबगंज रेलवे स्टेशन से एक साइडिंग द्वारा जुड़ा हुआ है, उक्त साइडिंग के निर्माण, संचालन आदि के सम्बन्ध में शिकायतकर्ता ने 15 जनवरी 1934 को या उसके आस-पास तत्कालीन में बेंगाल एण्ड नार्थ वेस्टर्न रेलवे के साथ एक करार निष्पादित किया था, उक्त करार के अधीन उन्होंने भूमि अर्जन की लागत को अपने ऊपर लेने, उक्त रेलवे द्वारा खर्च किए जाने वाले पूंजीगत-परिव्यय के दस प्रतिशत का अंश देने और उक्त साइडिंग के उपयोगार्थ रु० 2312-19 पै० की एक निर्धारित रकम प्रति वर्ष उस रेलवे को देने की सहमति दी थी, तदनुसार उन्होंने 1934 से मार्च 1958 तक उक्त रकम की अदायगी की थी जबकि प्रत्यार्थी ने साइडिंग के प्रभार को संशोधित कर (क) पूंजीगत परिव्यय पर मूद मद्दे और रेल पथ, कांटों, पारकों और उनसे समायोजित अन्तर्पाशत के अनुरक्षण के व्यय के लिए प्रति छमाही

अवधि में रु० 991 32 पै० और (ख) साइडिंग प्रभार मद्दे उपर्युक्त साइडिंग पर कबित प्रत्येक लदे चौपट्टिए बैगन के लिए रु० 1-27 पै० की दर पर संगणित रकम बना दिया था और शिकायतकर्ता से कहा कि वे अन्य बातों के साथ-साथ उक्त संशोधित प्रभारों की अदायगी की व्यवस्था करते हुए एक नया करार निष्पादित करें, और यह धमकी दी थी कि यदि वे (शिकायतकर्ता) संशोधित प्रभार नहीं देंगे तो साइडिंग सुविधाओं को वे (प्रत्यार्थी) बन्द कर देंगे, साइडिंग सुविधाओं को बंद कर देने की उक्त धमकी के कारण शिकायतकर्ता ने अक्तूबर 1963 को वैसा एक नया करार निष्पादित किया और अप्रैल 1958 से संशोधित प्रभारों का भुगतान भी अभ्यापत्ति के अधीन किया, ये नये प्रभार, जो प्रत्यार्थी द्वारा एक-पक्षीय रूप में लगाये गये हैं, असाधारण, अनुचित और अवैध हैं और उन्होंने प्रार्थना की है कि 1934 के करार या दूसरे किसी वैसे आधार पर जो कि अधिकरण को उचित और न्यायसंगत लगे, प्रभार निर्धारित किए जाएं, अधिकरण द्वारा निर्धारित प्रभार शिकायत की तारीख से लागू किए जाएं, प्रत्यार्थी को निदेशित किया जाय कि वे अधिकरण द्वारा निर्धारित प्रभारों से अधिक वसूली गयी रकम को वापस करें और खर्च की अदायगी करें;

और यतः ऐसा माना जाता है कि और भी इस प्रकार के व्यक्ति होंगे जो रिकार्ड में नहीं हों, परन्तु जिनका उपर्युक्त शिकायतकर्ता और प्रत्यार्थी के समान इन कार्यवाहियों में समान हित होगा,

अतएव यह सार्वजनिक सूचना रेल दर अधिकरण नियमावली 1959 के नियम 19(3) और (4) के अधीन दी जाती है ताकि कोई भी व्यक्ति जो वैसा चाहे, इस सूचना के प्रकाशन की तारीख से तीस दिनों के अंदर शिकायत में प्रार्थित अनुतोष की पुष्टि में या विरोध में प्रविष्ट होने की अनुमति के लिए या शिकायतकर्ता या प्रत्यार्थी के पक्ष में जोड़े जाने के लिए, प्रस्तावित प्रवेश के आधार और स्थिति को तथा कार्यवाहियों में प्रार्थी के हित को स्पष्ट करते हुए या उक्त मामले में एक पार्टी के रूप में जोड़े जाने का आधार स्पष्ट करते हुए अधिकरण को अर्जी पेश कर सके। इस सार्वजनिक सूचना के बाद अधिकरण द्वारा दिया जानेवाला कोई भी निर्णय ऐसे सभी व्यक्तियों पर लागू होगा।

‘अडयार हाऊस’, सं० 4 पुष्प रोड, राजा अण्णामलेपुरम, मद्रास-28 में नवम्बर 1965 के पांचवें दिन मेरे हस्ताक्षर और अधिकरण की मुद्रा के अधीन जारी की गयी।

रेल दर अधिकरण की सील

[रेल दर अधिकरण नियमावली 1959 के नियम 19(3) और (4) के अधीन सार्वजनिक सूचना]

मद्रास, दिनांक 28 जनवरी 1966

शिकायत सं 4 वर्ष 1965

दि न्यू इण्डिया शुगर मिल्स लिमिटेड, शिकायतकर्ता
हसनपुर रोड, बिहार राज्य

बनाम

भारतसंघ जिसका पूर्वोत्तर रेलवे के जन- प्रत्यार्थी
रल मैनेजर, गोरखपुर द्वारा प्रतिनिधित्व
किया जाता है।

यतः उपर्युक्त शिकायतकर्ता ने भारतीय रेल अधिनियम (1890 का नियम IX) की धारा 41 (I) (ग) के अधीन शिकायत की है कि बिहार राज्य के हसनपुर रोड में शक्कर और संबंधित उत्पादनों को पैदा करने के लिए उनका एक कारखाना है, उनका कारखाना हसनपुर रोड रेलवे स्टेशन से एक साइडिंग द्वारा जुड़ा हुआ है, उक्त साइडिंग के निर्माण, संचालन आदि के संबंध में शिकायत-

कर्ता ने 5 जून 1934 को या उसके आसपास, तरकालीन बंगाल ऐंड नार्थ वेस्टर्न रेलवे के साथ एक करार निष्पादित किया था, उक्त करार के अधीन शिकायतकर्ता ने अन्य बातों के साथ-साथ (1) साइडिंग के निर्माण के लिए भूमि अर्जन की लागत को स्वयं संभालने और (2) साइडिंग के उपयोगार्थ पूंजीगत परिव्यय के रेलवे के अंश के दस प्रतिशत के बराबर के वार्षिक प्रभार जो रु० 861.82 पर नियत किया गया था, देने की सहमति दी थी, बाद को प्रत्यर्थी ने उक्त प्रभार को संशोधित कर (क) पूंजी पर सूद मद्धे और रेल पथ, कांटो, पारकों और उनसे समायोजित अंतर्पार्शन के अनुरक्षण के व्यय के लिए, प्रति छमाही रु० 407.62 और (ख) साइडिंग पर कर्षित प्रति चौपट्टिए वेंगन के लिए रु० 1 की दर पर संगणित साइडिंग प्रभार जो कम से कम रु० 14 प्रति फेरे हो या साइडिंग पर कर्षित प्रति नवे चौपट्टिया-वेंगन के लिए रु० 1.25 पै० बना दिया और शिकायतकर्ता से कहा कि अन्य बातों के साथ साथ उक्त संशोधित प्रभारों की अदायगी की व्यवस्था करते हुए एक नया करार निष्पादित करें, यदि उन्होंने ऐसा नहीं किया तो साइडिंग सुविधाओं को बंद कर देने की धमकी प्रत्यर्थी ने दी थी और उक्त धमकी के कारण शिकायतकर्ता 25-3-1963 को नए करार को निष्पादित करने में विवश हुए और शिकायतकर्ता उक्त संशोधित प्रभार का अम्पापति के अधीन भुगतान करने लगे, संशोधित के रूप में की गयी यह वृद्धि अमाधारण, अनुचित और अवैध है और उन्होंने प्रार्थना की है कि दिनांक 5-8-1934 के करार या किसी दूसरे आधार पर जिसे अधिकरण न्यायसंगत और उचित माने, साइडिंग प्रभार और परिवहन प्रभार निर्धारित किया जाय, उसको शिकायत की तारीख से लागू किए जाय, प्रत्यर्थी को निवेशित किया जाये कि वे अधिकरण द्वारा निर्धारित किए जानेवाले प्रभार से

अधिक शिकायतकर्ता से बसूली गयी और/या उनके द्वारा जमा की गयी ऐसी रकम की वापसी करें उनको शिकायत और तस्मंअंभी खर्च का आदयगी करें

और यत ऐस माना जाता है कि और भी इस प्रकार के व्यक्ति होंगे जो रिकार्ड में नहीं हों, परंतु जिनका उपर्युक्त शिकायतकर्ता और प्रत्यर्थी के समान कार्यवाहियों में समान हित होगा ।

अतएव यह सार्वजनिक सूचना, रेल दर अधिकरण नियमावली 1959 के नियम 19(3) और (4) के अधीन दी जाती है ताकि कोई भी व्यक्ति जो बैसा चाहे, इस सूचना के प्रकाशन की तारीख से तीस दिनों के अंदर शिकायत में प्राथित अनुतोष की पुष्टि में या विरोध में प्रविष्ट होने की अनुमति के लिए या शिकायतकर्ता या प्रत्यर्थी के पक्ष में जोड़े जाने के लिए प्रस्तावित प्रवेश के आधार और स्थित को तथा कार्यवाहियों में प्रार्थी के हित को स्पष्ट करते हुए या उक्त मामले में एक पार्टी के रूप में जोड़े जाने का आधार स्पष्ट करते हुए अर्जी पेश कर सके । इस सार्वजनिक सूचना के बाद अधिकरण द्वारा दिया जानेवाला कोई भी निर्णय ऐसे सभी व्यक्तियों पर लागू होगा ।

'अंडयार हाउस' सं० 4, पुष्प रोड, राजा अण्णामलैपुरम, मद्रास-28 में नवम्बर 1965 के पांचवें दिन को मेरे हस्ताक्षर और अधिकरण की मुद्रा के अधीन जारी की गयी ।

रेल दर अधिकरण की सील

बी० सी० राजगोपाल,
सचिव,
रेल दर अधिकरण

RESERVE BANK OF INDIA

Department of Banking Operations & Development

Bombay-1, the 1st February 1966

DBOD. No. 45/C.404-66—In pursuance of Sub-section (2) of Section 36A of the Banking Companies Act, 1949, the Reserve Bank of India hereby notifies that the Assyrian Charities Banking Co. Ltd., Trichur, has ceased to be a banking company within the meaning of the said Act.

The 2nd February 1966

DBOD. No. 46/C.404-66—In pursuance of sub-section (2) of section 36A of the Banking Companies Act, 1949, the Reserve Bank of India hereby notifies that the Public Bank Ltd., Pudukad, has ceased to be a banking company within the meaning of the said Act.

M. S. NADKARNI
Chief Officer

STATE BANK OF INDIA

NOTICE

Bombay, the 2nd February 1966

In pursuance of Regulation 76(1) of the State Bank of India General Regulations, 1955, the Executive Committee of the Central Board has empowered Shri Balwant Singh, Development Manager, New Delhi, to exercise the signing powers specified therein.

By order of the Executive Committee
of the Central Board

R. N. CHETTUR
Managing Director

STATE BANK OF PATIALA

NOTICE

Patiala, the 1st February 1966

SBP No. 4—The following transfers and changes in the posting of Bank's Staff are hereby notified :—

1. Shri Santokh Singh Anand, Officer grade 'A', to be Chief Accountant of the Bank from the close of business on the 12th January 1966 in addition to his holding the post of Inspector.

2. Shri Jaspal Singh, Officer grade 'C', held charge of Delhi branch, from the close of business on the 18th December to the commencement of business on the 21st December 1965 vice Shri Jagjit Singh Malhotra.

SBP No. 5—The following Gazette Notification is hereby issued :—

Redesignation of Officers posted in the Development Section at Head Office and important branches (including intensive centres) in connection with the financing of Small Scale Industrial Units as 'Field Officers' and granting them certain powers and responsibilities :—

Consequent upon the formation of the Development Section at Head Office, entrustment of certain discretionary powers to the Development Officer and the appointment of Junior Officers and/or officers grade 'C' as 'Field Officers' at certain important branches for ensuring that the liberalised scheme for financing the Small Scale Industrial Units could be implemented with greater care, vigour and success, the Board of Directors of the State Bank of Patiala at its meeting held on the 18th June 1965 resolved that :—

(A) The Junior Officers and/or Officers Grade 'C' posted for implementing the liberalised scheme for financing of Small Scale Industrial Units at Head Office and the selected important branches be designated as 'Field Officers'.

(B) The 'Field Officers' be entrusted with the following duties :—

- (a) Survey and re-survey of small scale industrial units functioning in the areas of operation of the branches where they are posted, and keeping close and continuous contact with the local authorities and associations concerned with the development of small scale industries;
- (b) Assisting Branch Manager/Development Officer in processing proposals for advances to small scale industries;
- (c) Inspection of stocks, machinery etc. (in accordance with the instructions laid down) pledged/hypothecated to the Bank, as also of property, machinery etc. mortgaged to the Bank;
- (d) Performing other routine duties, such as, the handling of correspondence and compiling periodical returns and statements;
- (e) Assisting the borrowers in compiling the financial statements;
- (f) Collecting the required information relating to market rates of the various items of raw materials and finished products held as security against the loans and advances, overdrafts and other forms of accommodation granted to small scale industrialists and also certify the valuations stated in the pledge invoices and verify, to the extent possible, the value of the goods stated in the other documents of title to goods such as railway receipts, truck receipts etc. received from small scale industrialists against accommodation granted to them;
- (g) Verify the genuineness of the bills and documents of title to goods such as railway receipts, truck receipts etc. accompanying them, as also the clean bills accepted by the Bank for granting the aforesaid accommodation;
- (h) Compile and sign and periodically revise and sign the opinion reports that are at present being compiled and signed by the Head Cashiers;
- (i) At a branch which has a 'Field Officer' but does not have a full fledged Accountant or when the Accountant is on leave or his post is vacant, the 'Field Officer' be entrusted with the following further duties :—
 - (i) Signing delivery orders;
 - (ii) Signing drawing powers on accounts;
 - (iii) Retaining the godown keys in his custody when not in use;
 - (iv) Processing applications for medium term and instalment credit loans and conducting initial and follow up inspections in respect thereof, and submitting reports regarding such inspections to the Branch Manager for transmission to the Head Office with his own comments thereon;
 - (v) Retaining in his custody the documents relating to the loans and advances and other accommodation granted to small scale industrialists;
 - (vi) Retaining the bills received for realisation and collection drawn on small scale industrialists—demand bills being retained in joint custody with the Head Cashier/Assistant Cashier;
 - (vii) Exercising full passing powers.

D. CHATTERJEE
General Manager

THE INSTITUTE OF CHARTERED ACCOUNTANTS OF INDIA

New Delhi-1, dated 2nd February 1966

No. 4-CA(1)/12/65-66.—In pursuance of Regulation 16 of the Chartered Accountants Regulations, 1964, it is hereby notified that in exercise of the powers conferred by clauses (a) and (c) of Sub-Section (1) of Section 20 of the Chartered Accountant Act, 1949, i.e. on account of death and for non-payment of fees, the Council of the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India has removed from the Register of Members, with effect from the dates mentioned against their names, the names of the following gentlemen:—

S.No.	Member ship No.	Name & Address	Date of Removal
<i>Under Section 20(1)(a), on Account of death</i>			
1.	1088	Shri Frederic Montague Hill, B-4, Gillander House, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta-1	20-1-1966
2.	4737	Shri Rustom Jamshedji Lala, Ganesh Bhuvan, Cumbulla Hill, Bombay-20.	7-12-1965
<i>Under Section 20(1)(c) on Account of non-payment fees</i>			
3.	497	Shri K. V. Srinivasan, Post Box No. 331, 29, Mount Road, Madras-2.	1-4-1965

No. 8-CA(1)/14/65-66.—In pursuance of clause (iii) of Regulation 10(1) of the Chartered Accountants Regulations, 1964, it is hereby notified that the Certificates of Practice issued to the following members shall stand cancelled during the periods shown against their names, namely:—

S. No.	Member-ship No.	Name and address	Period during which the Certificates shall stand cancelled
1.	2353	Shri Mugh Kumar Jain, F.C.A., 6, New Rohtak Road, Delhi.	27-1-1966 to 30-6-1966
2.	3520	Shri Yousuf Alimohamed Waghbikriwala, A.C.A., 932, Chowk Bazar, Surat.	1-4-1965 to 30-6-1965
3.	4583	Shri K. V. Seshagiri Rao, F.C.A., Chartered Accountant, 219/3 RT, Vijayanagar Colony, Mallepalli, Hyderabad-AP.	17-1-1966 to 30-6-1966
4.	6481	Shri D. S. Ghosal, A.C.A., 8K, Barpara Lane, Calcutta-30.	1-1-1966 to 30-6-1966

C. BALAKRISHNAN,
Secretary

EMPLOYEES' STATE INSURANCE CORPORATION

Department of Social Securities

Calcutta-13, the 21st January 1966

No. C/CB-64/59(P)-III—It is hereby notified that a Local Committee (No. I) consisting of the following members has been set up for 24-Parganas (South area) where the Chapters IV & V of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 are in force under Regulation 10-A of the Employees' State Insurance (General) Regulations, 1950.

Chairman

Under Regulation 10-A(I)(a)

1. The Additional District Magistrate, 24-Parganas (General Administration).

Members**Under Regulation 10-A(1)(b)**

2. The Asstt. Secretary, Labour Department,
Government of West Bengal,
(Sri S. M. Ganguly, M.Sc., W.B.C.S.).

Under Regulation 10-A(1)(c)

3. The Additional Administrative Medical Officer,
Employees' State Insurance (M.B.) Scheme,
Government of West Bengal.

Under Regulation 10-A(1)(d)

4. Sri S. Nageswaran,
Labour Officer,
35, Lake Temple Road,
Calcutta-29.
5. Dr. S. C. Bhattacharjee,
General Manager—Personnel,
Union Carbide India Ltd.,
1 & 3, Brabourne Road,
Calcutta-1.

Under Regulation 10-A(1)(e)

6. Sri K. K. Ram,
Vice-President,
I.N.T.U.C., West Bengal Branch,
P-134, Mudalia Road,
Calcutta.
7. Sri Gour Goswami,
1/1, Garden Reach Road,
Calcutta-24.

Under Regulation 10-A(1)(f)

8. The Dy. Regional Director,
Employees' State Insurance Corporation,
Insurance Branch,
Calcutta-13. Secretary

No. C/CB-64/59(P)-IV—It is hereby notified that a Local Committee (No. 2) consisting of the following members has been set up for 24-Parganas (North area) where the Chapters IV & V of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 are in force, under Regulation 10-A of the Employees' State Insurance (General) Regulations, 1950.

Chairman**Under Regulation 10-A(1)(a)**

1. The Chief Medical Officer of Health,
24-Parganas.

Members**Under Regulation 10-A(1)(b)**

2. The Assistant Labour Commissioner,
Barrackpur.

Under Regulation 10-A(1)(c)

3. The Medical Inspector of the Office of the Administrative Medical Officer,
West Bengal (Dr. J. N. Das Gupta).

Under Regulation 10-A(1)(d)

4. Sri M. Banerjee,
Labour Officer,
25, River Side Road,
Barrackpur, 24-Parganas.
5. Sri S. K. Mukherjee,
Personnel Officer,
Britania Engg. Co. Ltd.,
Titagarh, 24-Parganas.

Under Regulation 10-A(1)(e)

6. Sri Nirmal Banerjee, Secretary,
I.N.T.U.C., West Bengal Branch,
'Shramik—Sivir',
P.O. Talpukur, 24-Parganas.
7. Sri Santi Chatterjee,
Texmaco Workers' Union,
64, Feeder Road,
P.O. Belghoria, 24-Parganas.

Under Regulation 10-A(1)(f)

8. The Dy. Regional Director,
E.S.I. Corporation,
(Insurance Branch),
Calcutta-13. Secretary

By Order

S. NARAYAN
Regional Director

**THE INSTITUTE OF COST AND WORKS
ACCOUNTANTS OF INDIA**

(COST ACCOUNTANTS)

Calcutta, the 1st February 1966

No. CWR(3)/65—With reference to the Notification No. CWR(3)/65, dated 18th December 1965 published in the Gazette of India dated 1st January 1966, proposing certain draft amendments to the Cost and Works Accountants Regulations, 1959, it is hereby notified that the said draft amendments will be taken up for consideration on or after 28th February 1966.

S. N. GHOSE

Secretary

**BEFORE THE RAILWAY RATES TRIBUNAL AT
MADRAS**

(Public Notice Issued under Rule 19(3) and (4) of the
Railway Rates Tribunal Rules, 1959)

Complaint Number 3 of 1964

Best & Co. Private Limited—Complainant

versus

Union of India owning and representing the Southern
Railway Administration, Madras—Respondent

WHEREAS the complainant above-named has made a complaint that they have a factory at Madras for the manufacture of bleaching clay, that the product of bleaching clay manufactured there by them is marketed under the name and style of "Bestonite", that it is used mainly in the Vanaspati Industry for bleaching vegetable oils and occasionally for bleaching mineral oils also, that the raw material for its manufacture is obtained from Tandur in the State of Andhra Pradesh, that in its manufacture the raw material viz., raw clay is activated both thermally and/or chemically by the aid of acids, that such a process gives the clay adequate bleaching properties for decolourising oils;

That from the time of commencement of the manufacture of "Bestonite" the complainant has been sending it to various centres in India through the Southern Railway under the head "Spent Bleaching Clay", that the Southern Railway accepted the same and charged rates as and for "Spent Bleaching Clay", that the complainant was also paying freight as for "Spent Bleaching Clay", that, while so, the respondent demanded payment of freight at a higher rate on the basis that the complainant's product is "Fuller's Earth", that from Salt Cotaurs, Madras, to Ghaziabad it was charged originally at Rs. 5.13 per quintal by the Southern Railway, that subsequently when a further consignment of it was presented for despatch to Ghaziabad, the railway authorities refused to book the same unless freight at Rs. 10.29 per quintal was paid, that the complainant paid at the higher rate under protest to avoid delay;

That while the respondent charged @ of Rs. 4.36 per quintal of Bestonite from Salt Cotaurs, Madras, to Indore earlier, they insisted payment @ of Rs. 9.73 per quintal later, that the complainant paid the latter charge under protest, in order to avoid delay in supplies to their customers;

That the nature of the complainant's product, viz., "Bestonite" falls only within the description of "Spent Bleaching Clay" and not "Fuller's Earth", that "Fuller's Earth" is a term used to denote the earth as such, without any process of activation, that it is mostly an improved commodity which, unlike the former is used in several commercial industries and has various other end uses like manufacture of cosmetics, that the cost of the raw material necessary for the manufacture of "Bestonite" and the cost of its manufacture are low, that it is unreasonable to charge freight for "Bestonite" the rate chargeable as and for "Fuller's Earth", that the action of the respondent in claiming the freight as for "Fuller's Earth" causes unreasonable prejudice to the business of the complainant, that the particular description in the Goods Tariff as contemplated under Section 28 of the Indian Railways Act does correspond to the description of "Spent Bleaching Clay", that the levy of any charge on their "Bestonite" as if it were any commodity other than "Spent Bleaching Clay" is unreasonable, and has prayed for the exercise of the jurisdiction vested with the Tribunal to direct the respondent to charge "Bestonite" as hitherto under the head "Spent Bleaching Clay";

WHEREAS the complainant has further complained that the levy by the respondent in respect of "Bestonite" at increased rates from July 1964, between two stations *viz.*, between Salt Cotours, Madras, on the one hand and Ghaziabad, Indore and Wadi Bunder on the other hand are unreasonable under Section 41(1)(b) of the Indian Railways Act and has prayed that the Tribunal may be pleased to exercise its jurisdiction by declaring that such levy of rates at the enhanced rates per quintal for the product of the complainant is unreasonable and direct them to charge at the same rate as had been done prior to July 1964;

AND WHEREAS it is thought that there may be many persons who are not on record but have the same interest in the proceedings as the complainant or the respondent above-named;

This public notice is, therefore, given under Rule 19(3) and (4) of the Railway Rates Tribunal Rules, 1959, so that any person who so desires may petition the Tribunal within thirty days of the publication of this notice for leave to intervene in support of or opposition to the reliefs sought in the complaint, or be added on the side of the complainant or the respondent setting forth the grounds of the proposed intervention and the position and the interest of the petitioner in the proceedings or the grounds for being added as a party in the said case. Any decision given by the Tribunal after this public notice shall apply to all such persons.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Tribunal this Eighteenth day of January 1966, at "Adyar House", No. 4, Pugh's Road, Raja Annamalaipuram, Madras-28.

The Seal of the Railway

Rates Tribunal

[Public notice issued under Rule 19(3) and (4) of the Railway Rates Tribunal Rules, 1959]

Complaint number 3 of 1965

The Nawabganj Sugar Mills Company Ltd., Nawabganj (Gonda), Uttar Pradesh—Complainant

versus

The Union of India, represented by the General Manager, North Eastern Railway, Gorakhpur—Respondent

WHEREAS the complainant above-named have made a complaint under Section 41(1)(c) of the Indian Railways Act (Act 9 of 1890) that they have a sugar mill at Nawabganj (Gonda) in the State of Uttar Pradesh for manufacturing sugar and other allied products, that the said mill is connected with Nawabganj (Gonda) railway station by a siding, that regarding the construction, working, etc. of the said siding, in or about the 15th January 1934, the complainant entered into an agreement with the then Bengal and North Western Railway, that under the said agreement they had agreed to bear the cost of the acquisition of the land, to pay ten per cent of the share of the capital outlay to be expended by the said railway and to pay for the use of the said siding a fixed sum of Rs. 2312.19 p. every year, that they had accordingly paid the said sum from 1934 till March 1958, when the respondent had revised the charges in respect of the siding to (a) Rs. 991.32 p. per half year to cover the interest on capital outlay and cost of maintenance of the permanent way, points, crossing and interlocking connected therewith, plus (b) a siding charge at Rs. 1.27 p. per loaded four wheeled wagon hauled over the siding and asked the complainant to execute a new agreement providing, *inter alia*, for payment of the said revised charges and threatened that if they did not pay the revised charges, they would cancel the siding facility, that because of the threat of cancellation of siding facilities, the complainant had executed such a new agreement in October 1963, and also paid the revised charges from April 1958, under protest, that the new charges which are unilaterally imposed by the respondent are abnormal, unreasonable and unlawful and have prayed for the fixation of the siding charges on the basis of the agreement of 1934 or on such other basis as the Tribunal may deem fair and reasonable, for giving effect to the charges fixed by the Tribunal from the date of the complaint, for directions to the respondent to refund such amounts as are in excess of the charges fixed by the Tribunal and to pay costs;

AND WHEREAS it is thought that there may be persons who are not on record but have the same interest in the proceedings as the complainant or the respondent above-named;

This public notice is, therefore, given under Rule 19(3) and (4) of the Railway Rates Tribunal Rules, 1959, so that any person who so desires, may petition the Tribunal within thirty days of the publication of

this notice for leave to intervene in support of or opposition to the relief sought in the complaint, or be added on the side of the complainant, or the respondent setting forth the grounds of the proposed intervention, and the position, and the interest of the petitioner in the proceedings or the grounds for being added as a party in the said case. Any decision given by the Tribunal after this public notice shall apply to all such persons.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Tribunal, this fifth day of November 1965, at "Adyar House", No. 4, Pugh's Road, Raja Annamalaipuram, Madras-28.

The Seal of the Railway

Rates Tribunal

[Public Notice issued under Rule 19(3) and (4) of the Railway Rates Tribunal Rules, 1959]

Complaint No. 4 of 1965

Madras-28, the 28th January 1966

The New India Sugar Mills Limited, Hasanpur Road, Bihar State—Complainants

versus

Union of India, represented by the General Manager, North Eastern Railway, Gorakhpur—Respondents

Whereas the Complainants above-named have made a complaint under Section 41(i)(c) of the Indian Railways Act (Act IX of 1890) that they have a Sugar Mill at Hasanpur Road, Bihar for manufacturing Sugar and other allied products, that their mill is connected with Hasanpur Road Railway Station by a siding, that regarding the construction and working etc. of the said siding on or about the fifth June 1934, the complainants entered into an agreement with the then Bengal and North Western Railway, that under the said agreement the complainants had agreed, *inter alia*, (1) to bear the costs of acquisition of the land for the construction of the siding and (2) to pay an yearly charge equivalent to ten per cent of the Railway's share of the Capital outlay for the use of the siding which was fixed at Rs. 861.82, that the respondent has subsequently revised the said charges to (a) Rs. 407.62 for half year towards interest on Capital and cost of maintenance of permanent way, points and crossing and interlocking connected therewith; (b) a siding charge at the rate of Re. 1 per 4 wheeled wagon hauled over the siding subject to a minimum of Rs. 14 per shunt or Rs. 1.25 per loaded 4-wheeled wagon hauled over the siding, and asked the complainants to execute a new agreement providing *inter alia* for payment of the said revised charges and threatened that, if they did not pay the revised charges, they would cancel the siding facility, that because of the threat, the complainants were compelled to execute the new agreement on 25-3-1963 and began to pay, under protest, the said revised charges, that the revision by way of increase is very abnormal, unreasonable and unlawful and have prayed for the fixation of the siding charges or haulage charges on the basis of the agreement dated 5-6-1934 or on such other basis as the Tribunal may deem fair and reasonable; for giving effect to them from the date of the complaint; for directions to the respondent to refund the amounts collected from and/or deposited by the complainant in excess of such charges as may be fixed by the Tribunal, to pay costs of and incidental to the complaint to the complainant;

And whereas it is thought that there may be many persons who are not on record but have the same interest in the proceedings as the complainants or the respondents above-named;

This public notice is, therefore, given under Rule 19(3) and (4) of the Railway Rates Tribunal Rules, 1959, so that any person who so desires may petition the Tribunal within thirty days of the publication of this notice for leave to intervene in support of or opposition to the relief sought in the complaint, or be added on the side of the complainants or the respondent setting forth the grounds of the proposed intervention, and the position, and the interest of the petitioner in the proceedings; or the grounds for being added as a party in the said case. Any decision given by the Tribunal after this public notice shall apply to all such persons.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Tribunal, this fifth day of November 1965, at 'Adyar House', No. 4, Pugh's Road, Raja Annamalaipuram, Madras-28.

V. C. RAJAGOPAL

Secretary

Railway Rates Tribunal

The Seal of the Railway
Rates Tribunal

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION & BROADCASTING

Central Board of Film Censors

PART I

Particulars of films Recertified or Refused recertification by the Central Board of film Censors during the week-ending 18th January 1964

Instructions—B—Bombay
C—Calcutta
M—Madras

'U'—Certified for unrestricted public exhibition.

i.e. persons who have completed their 18th year.

Application No.	Name of the film with language and country of origin.	Length of the film in metres and No. of reels.	Name of the person or Co. who have applied for certificate with address	Name of the person or Co. who has produced the film with address	Nature of Cert. granted & No. & date of Cert. issued or date on which it was refused	Remarks
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
B/28/4390	"Shahenshah" (Colour) (Hindi) (35 mm.) (India).	3881-32(14)	Bilimoria & Lalji, 393, Lamington Road, Bombay-4.	G. P. Productions	U 39885 14-1-64	With triangle mark.
<p><i>Endorsement—</i> B/28/4390 <i>Film—</i>Shahenshah (Hindi) (Colour). <i>Length applied—</i>11,849 ft. U-Cert. No. 39885, dated 14-1-1964. The film is re-certified subject to the undermentioned cuts as made in the film at the time of its initial certification by the Board vide 'U' Certificate No. 3975, dated 30th October, 1953.</p> <p><i>Endorsement dated 30-10-1953.</i> <i>Add—</i> <i>Reel I—</i>A Hindi tablet saying the same thing as said in the English tablet—7 ft. (applicant has also added Urdu tablet—7 ft.).</p> <p><i>Delete—</i> <i>Reel VII—</i>Hip-shaking by the dancer in the Cafe dance (song No. 2)—16 ft. <i>Reel VIII—From song No. 4 :</i> (a) The lines "Duniya ke har dukh ka daroo ek sunehri jam"—"Zulfon ke saaye men nadaan kar bhi le araam"—12 ft. (b) Close-up of dancing Naseem when she comes near the king—6 ft. (c) Shot showing Naseem throwing her arms around the king's neck—14 ft. (d) Saamir making facial gestures—3 ft.</p> <p><i>Reel IX—</i>Close-up of Naseem in song No. 5—6 ft. Close-up of Naseem during her words to the King: "Ap mujh se shadi karte hain"—33 ft. Naseem's close-up just before she talks to her mother and embraces her—3 ft. 9 frames. <i>Length of excisions—</i>93 ft. 9 frames. <i>Length of additions—</i>14 ft. <i>Actual length of the film—</i>11,769 ft.</p> <p><i>Endorsement dated 7-12-53</i> <i>Added—</i> <i>Reel IV—</i>Bakhtiar's scene with the pigeon in the garden—220 ft. <i>Reel VI—</i>Shahenshah and Noor fighting the soldiers—256 ft. <i>Reel IX—</i>Bakhtiar in Bagdhad streets—196 ft. <i>Reel XII—</i>Shahenshah's fight with guards in the garden—155 ft. <i>Reel XIII—</i>Shots of fighting—138 ft.</p> <p>The aforesaid additions have been approved, excluding the following scenes which have been ordered to be cut out :—</p> <p><i>Delete—</i>Shots of the statue with bare bust from— <i>Reel VI—</i> Bakhtiar's scene with Safir in the garden—185 ft. <i>Length of approved additions—</i>965 ft. <i>Actual length of the film—</i>12,734 ft.</p> <p><i>Endorsement dated 19-7-54.</i> <i>Alterations under Rule 29</i> Words Teheran, Iran and syllable "Bag" from Bagdad completely inked off from the entire picture</p>						
B/28/4395	"The Street With No Name" (English) (35 mm.) (U.S.A.).	2499-66(10)	20th Century-Fox Corp. (India) Private Ltd., Metro House, M.G. Road, Bombay-1.	20th Century Fox Film Corp.	U 39886 14-1-64	With triangle mark.
<p><i>Endorsement—</i> No. B/28/4395 <i>Film—</i>"The Street With No Name" (English) U-Cert. No. 39886, dated 14-1-1964.</p> <p>The film is recertified subject to the undermentioned cuts as made in the film at the time of its initial certification by the former Bombay Board of Film Censors vide 'U' certificate No. 39644 dated 17th September, 1948.</p> <p><i>Delete—</i>All actual drinking scenes and reduce other drink scenes to the absolute minimum essential for the continuity of the story.</p>						

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
B/28/4396	Trailer of "The Street with No Name" (English) (35 mm.) (U.S.A.).	70·41(1)	20th Century Fox Corp. (India) Private Ltd., Metro House, M. G. Road, Bombay-1.	20th Century Fox Film Corp.	U 39887 15-1-64	
B/28/4374	"Workers for Peace" (English) (16 mm.) (U.S.A.).	250·55(3)	United States Information Service, 'International', Bombay-1.	Appex film Corporation.	U 39888 16-1-64	
B/28/4368	"Library of Congress" (English) (16 mm.) (U.S.A.).	228·60(2)	Do.	U.S.I.S.	U 39889 16-1-64	
B/28/4371	"Principles of Electricity" (Colour) (English) (16 mm.) (U.S.A.).	243·84(2)	Do.	Raphael G. Worlt.	U 39890 16-1-64	
B/28/4384	"Gulacha Ganapati" (Marathi) (35 mm.) (India).	3762·76(14)	Swati Chitra, 1217, Subhash Nagar, Poona-2.	Swati Chitra, 1217, Subhash Nagar, Poona-2.	U 39891 16-1-64	With triangle mark.

Endorsement

No. B/28/4384

Film—"Gulacha Ganapati" (Marathi)

Original length—12,445 ft.

U-Cert. No. 39891 dated 16-1-1964.

The film is re-certified subject to the undermentioned cuts as made in the film at the time of its initial certification by the Board vide 'U' Certificate No. 10054, dated 18th December, 1953.

Endorsement dated 18th December, 1953.**Delete—**

Reel I—Close-ups of emphasised bust of the heroine with shining choli uncovered by dupatta, in song No. 1, "Hi kuni chhedili tar."—52 ft.

Reel III—Shot of Narya licking food in the song No. 3 beginning "Suwarnadwaravatica Rana"—9 ft.

Reel VIII—The words beginning "Are Narapashuno tyani tumchya sathi ratrandivas apla rakta atvava ani tumhi ty a raktache pani gata gata pivoon takava he tumhala shobhata ka?"—17 ft.

Reel IX—Shots in which a South Indian newspaper man speaks with peculiar, funny intonation—22 ft.

Reduce—

Reel VIII—Shots wherein a South Indian customer at the grocer's shop makes ridiculous gestures and speaks so as to create laughter at his expense—8 ft. (Sound replaced with words in Marathi—8 ft.).

Length of excisions—100 ft.

Actual length of the film—12,345 ft.

Endorsement dated 2nd March 1954.**Alterations under Rule 29****Substituted the credit title.**

In respect of producer as "Nirmata—Vinayak Rajguru" for "Nirmate—Vinayak Rajguru Vasant Khasnis".

B/28/4387	"Ek—Do—Teen" (Hindi) (35 mm.) (India).	4188·26(14)	Shorey Films, C/o Go-vindram Bros., Pvt. Ltd., 156, Tardeo Rd., Bombay-34. W.B.	Shorey Films, C/o Go-vindram Bros. Pvt. Ltd., 156, Tardeo Rd., Bombay-34 W.B.	U 39892 16-1-64	With triangle mark
-----------	--	-------------	---	---	-----------------------	--------------------

Endorsement—

No. B/28/4387

Film—"Ek-Do-Teen" (Hindi)

Length—13,973 ft.

U-Cert. No. 39892, dated 16-1-1964.

The film is recertified subject to the undermentioned cuts as made in the film at the time of its initial certification by the Board vide 'U' Certificate No. 7797, dated 18th March, 1953.

Endorsement dated 18-3-1953.

Reel VI—Delete profile of bust jerking of Roma during song 'ek, do, teen'.—5 ft.

Reel IX—Delete accused Motilal asking questions to the witnesses when he is represented by his lawyer—134 ft.

Reel XI—Delete the following sentence 'parde ke peechee kya hota hai'—15½ ft.

Delete bust close-ups of four girls in white satin choli—3 ft.

Delete the close-up of girl in white choli on the bank of river in the theatre scene—15 ft.

Delete the following words "idhar battery hamesha down ho jati hai".—6½ ft.

Delete the following words from song No. 8 "Liye goad me naar parai"—6½ ft.

Reel V & VI—Delete all close-ups of Betty's low-cut dress and bust jerking during the dance, and while sitting at table talking to Moti.—46 ft. (Replaced with same shots blown above bust).

Total length of cuts—232 ft.

Approved replacements—69 ft.

Approved trimming—89 ft.

Actual length of the film—13,741 ft.

Endorsement under Rule 29 dated 12-6-1953.

"This certificate is also valid for the print where Song No. 1 beginning with the words 'Bela bambina, bela bambina in reel No. 1 (347 ft.) and Song No. 8 beginning with the words 'thumak thumak chali kaamini' in Reel No. 8 (476 ft.) have been handcoloured".

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
B/28/4423	"Chacha Chowdhary" (Hindi) (35 mm.) (India).	4123 94(15)	Kardar Films Private Ltd., Neelam Man- sion, Lamington Rd., Bombay-4.	Kardar Productions Ltd., Neelam Man- sion, Lamington Rd., Bombay-4.	U 39893 16-1-64	With triangle mark.
<p><i>Endorsement—</i> No. B/28/4423 <i>Original length</i>—13,652 ft. (Reels 15) U-Cert. No. 39893, dated 16-1-1964. The film is re-certified subject to the undermentioned cuts as made in the film at the time of its initial certification by the Board vide 'U' Certificate No. 9377, dated 30th October, 1953.</p> <p><i>Endorsement dated</i> 30-10-1953.</p> <p><i>Add—</i> <i>Reel I</i>—Clearly spoken Hindi commentary giving translation of the English tablet (the words have been superimposed on the tablet).</p> <p><i>Delete—</i> <i>Reel IV</i>—Words "In khubsoorat pairon ko choom loon" twice spoken by Chandrabhushan to Prema.—19 ft. <i>Reel VI</i>—Shot of Sarangswami eating rice in an uncouth way retaining a portion showing him putting rice into mouth once only.—2 ft. <i>Reel XIV</i>—Chacha Chowdhary's words "Baiwant, yeh hai tumhara kaddulo na"—6 ft.</p> <p><i>Reduce—</i> <i>Reel VII</i>—Shots of Chandrabhushan amorously pressing his fingers on Prema's shoulder, arms and hand—16 ft. (<i>Replaced with extension of the shot of Chacha listening at the door</i>—16 ft. the length of the affected portion as retained is 30 ft.). <i>Reels II, XII & XIII</i>—A fair portion of the sequence of the dangerous lunatics, the shouts and screams as well as braying and barking—84 ft. (<i>Length of the sequence left after reduction is</i>—86 ft.). <i>Total excisions</i>—136 ft. <i>Total additions</i>—16 ft. <i>Actual length of the film after the aforesaid alterations</i>—13,532 ft.</p> <p><i>Endorsement dated</i> 30-8-1954.</p> <p><i>Deleted</i> <i>Reel IV</i>—Words "Madras ke"—1 ft. <i>Reel V</i>—Words "Marwari"—1 ft. <i>Actual length of the film</i>—13,530 ft.</p>						
B/28/4461	"Houdini" (Colour) (English) (35 mm.) (U.S.A.).	2894 69(11)	Paramount Films of India Ltd., Sprott Road, Hague Bldg., Bombay-1.	Paramount Interna- tional Films Inc.	U 39894 17-1-64	With triangle mark.
<p><i>Endorsement—</i> No. B/28/4461 <i>Film</i>—"Houdini" (Colour) (English). <i>Original length</i>—9524, dated 17-1-1964. The film is re-certified subject to the undermentioned cuts as made in the film at the time of its initial certification by the Central Board of Film Censors vide 'U' Certificate No. 9990, dated 11-12-1953.</p> <p><i>Reel II</i>—Mid-shot of youngwomen in transparent dress on stage encircling the oldman, retaining their preceding long shot—5 ft. <i>Reel IV</i>—Kissing scene of Houdini and Bess showing their bare legs together following their vaudeville performance. 22 ft. <i>Length of excisions</i>—27 ft. <i>Actual length of the film</i>—9497 ft.</p>						
B/28/4462	"Trailer of "Houdini" (Colour) (English) (35 mm.) (U.S.A.).	61 26(1)	Paramount Films of India Ltd., Sprott Road, Hague Bldg., Bombay-1.	Paramount Interna- tional Film Inc.	U 39895 17-1-64	
CALCUTTA						
C/28,738	"Shap Mukti" (Ben- gali) (35 mm.) (India).	3157 72(13)	Sree Vishnu Pictures (P) Ltd., 87, Dhara- amtolla, Street Calcutta-13	Krishin Movietone, 87, Dharamtolla Street Calcutta-13.	U 38806 18-1-64	
MADRAS						
M-27B/218/28/ 656	"Sri Ramabrahma & Niryanam" (Telugu) (35 mm.) (India).	88 39 (1)	Prasad Productions, 20-Nandanam Colo- ny, Madras.	Sree Sarada Produc- tions, Madras.	U 22908 16-1-64	With triangle mark.
<p>(Issued in replacement of Certificate No. 1076-U, dated 9-1-1954).</p> <p><i>Endorsement on renewed Certificate</i> No. 22908 dated 16th January, 1964 issued to the film 'Sri Ramabrahma Niryanam' (Telugu)—35 mm. The close-ups of the dead body.—16 ft. or 4.88 Metres.</p>						
M-27B/217/ 28/657.	"Palanati Yuddham" (Telugu) (35 mm.) (India).	4543 65 (18)	Prasad Productions, 20-Nandanam Colo- ny, Madras.	Sree Sarada Produc- tions, Madras.	U 22909 1-1-64	With triangle mark.
<p>(Issued in replacement of Certificate No. 1075-U, dated 9-1-1954).</p> <p><i>Endorsement on renewed Certificate</i> No. 22909-U, dated 16th January, 1964, issued to the film 'Palanati Yuddham' (Telugu)—35 mm. The costume of the Koya Pilla is objectionable. The portion from her appearing before the group of villagers up to her being brought to Brahmana Naidu must be cut. (274 ft. or 83.52 Metres).</p>						

1	2	3	4	5	6	7
<i>Particulars of Films Re-Certified or Refused Re-Certification by the central Board of Film censors during the week-ending 25th January 1964</i>						
B/28/4466	"Soubhagya" (Mara- thi) (35 mm.) (India).	3733-50(13)	Sahakar & Chitra, 877 Boota Street, Poona-1.	Sahakar & Chitra, 877 Boota Street, Poona-1.	U 39896 21-1-64	
B/28/4463	"Maharathi Karna" (Hindi) (35 mm.) (India).	3185-46(13)	Maneklal Chunilal & Sons Ltd., Chow- patty Chambers, Sandhurst Bridge, Bombay-4.	Prabhakar Pictures.	U 39897 24-1-64	With triangle mark.

Endorsement—

B/28/4463

Film—"Maharathi Karna" (Hindi).

U-Cert. No. 39897, dated 24-1-1964.

The film is re-certified subject to the undermentioned cut as made in the film at the time of its initial certification by the Board vide 'U' certificate No. 442, dated 15th October, 1953.

In Reel VII curtail somewhat the scene showing Karna's wife separating with sword, the 'Kavcha' from Karna's body—18½ ft.

Total cuts—18½ ft.

Actual length of the film after excisions—10,451 ft. 8 in.

CALCUTTA

C-28/739	"King Kong" (English) (35 mm.) (U.S.A.).	2624-32(11)	The United Pioneer Films (Private) Ltd., 386-C, Vithalbhai Patel Road, (Near Congress House), Bombay-4.	RKO Radio Pictures Inc., U.S.A.	U 38807 20-1-64	
C-28/740	"Billwamangal" (Ben- gali) (35 mm.) (India)	3779-52(14)	Everest Pictures, 14, Behari Lal Chakra- borty Lane, Howrah.	Aj Production, 87, Dharamtolla Street, Calcutta.	U 38808 24-1-64	

MADRAS

M-501/28/658	"Rani Nagamma" (Tamil) (35 mm.) (India).	4090-41 (15)	Prasad Productions, 20, Nandanam, Madras- 35.	Sree Sarada Produc- tions, Madras.	U 22910 20-1-64	With triangle mark.
(Issued in replacement of Certificate No. 3646-U dated 10-4-1952).						
Endorsement on renewed Certificate No. 22910-U, dated 20th January 1964.—issued to the film 'Rani Nagamma' (Tamil).						
Total length of the film—13,420 ft.						

Cuts—

1. Reel II—Delete the words 'Andidu Virshanthira' in the dancer's song.—22 ft
2. Reel 12—Reduce the scene showing the performance of the sathi—20 ft.
3. Reel 13—Remove shots showing the severed head of Narasingam being shown in the battle field and also the bleeding of the trunk.—22 ft.

Total length of cuts—64 ft.

4. Mention in the title that it is an old time story—Addition of 10 ft.

Actual length of the film after cuts and additions—13,366 ft.

Copy of endorsement of the old Madras Board Certificate No. 2511, dated 12-8-1947, for the film, 'Polnati Yuddham' (Telugu version of the film 'Rani Nagamma').

The costume of the Koya Pilla is objectionable. The portion from her appearing before the group of villagers upto her being brought to Brahmana Naidu must be cut. (274 ft.).

Actual length of the film is—4,050-41 Metres in 15 reels.

D. L. KOTHAR

Chairman

Central Board of Film Censors.